

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,501	COX, DAVID PEYTON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LI B. ZHEN	2194	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Karen G. Hazzah (Reg. No. 48,472).

(3) _____.

(2) LI B. ZHEN.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

Claims 19 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and examiner discussed the scope of "machine-readable medium" as recited in claims 19 and 27. Ms. Hazzah authorized the amendments to claims 19 and 27 to recite "machine-readable storage medium" in order to limit the claims to tangible embodiments.